

Volume 2, Issue 1

Research Article

Date of Submission: 25 Nov, 2025

Date of Acceptance: 20 Dec, 2025

Date of Publication: 20 Jan, 2026

A Critical Review of Designing Social Research by Blaikie (2009)

Aijaz Ahmed^{1*} and Rahat Jabeen²

¹Post-Doctoral Fellow, Centre of Social Medicine and Community Health, School of Social Sciences, Jawaharlal Nehru University, India

²Mrs. Rahat Jabeen, Research Scholar, Department of Computer Science, SKD University, India

***Corresponding Author:** Aijaz Ahmed, Post-Doctoral Fellow, Centre of Social Medicine and Community Health, School of Social Sciences, Jawaharlal Nehru University, India.

Citation: Ahmed, A., Jabeen, R. (2026). A Critical Review of Designing Social Research by Blaikie (2009). *Digit Hum Soc Sci Cult Preserv*, 2(1), 01-03.

Abstract

This critical review examines Nigel Blaikie's *Designing Social Research* (2009), a foundational text in social science methodology. The book provides a structured approach to research design, emphasizing the importance of planning, execution, and reporting. Blaikie classifies research strategies into inductive, deductive, retroductive, and abductive approaches, offering a systematic guide for researchers. While his framework ensures methodological rigor, it has been critiqued for its rigid categorization of research paradigms and limited flexibility in interdisciplinary research. Additionally, Blaikie underestimates the role of mixed-methods research and iterative research processes, which have become increasingly relevant in contemporary studies. Despite these limitations, *Designing Social Research* remains a valuable resource for scholars, particularly those seeking a clear and structured foundation in research methodology. This review highlights both the strengths and shortcomings of Blaikie's work, suggesting areas where modern research practices could enhance his framework.

Keywords: Research Design, Social Science Methodology, Inductive, Deductive Research

Introduction

Blaikie's *Designing Social Research* (2009) is a seminal text that systematically addresses the complexities of social research methodology. His work outlines the fundamental stages of social research—planning, execution, and reporting—while emphasizing the critical role of research design in ensuring methodological rigor. Blaikie asserts that poor planning leads to a lack of control over the research process, making systematic and well-structured decision-making essential for effective studies.

However, while Blaikie's framework provides a methodical and structured approach, it is not without limitations. Critics argue that his categorization of research strategies lacks flexibility, especially in interdisciplinary research settings [1]. Moreover, some scholars believe that his epistemological classifications oversimplify the relationship between theory and methodology [2]. This review critically evaluates Blaikie's contributions, highlighting both the strengths and limitations of his approach.

Understanding Research Design

Blaikie (2009) defines research design as the logical structure of a study, shaping how data is collected, analyzed, and interpreted. He references Kerlinger and Pedhazur's definition of research design as "a plan, structure, and strategy of investigation conceived to obtain answers to research questions and control variance" [3]. This conceptualization underscores research design as a roadmap that ensures coherence between theoretical perspectives and empirical methods. While Blaikie's definition aligns with positivist and interpretivist research traditions, some scholars critique his rigid classification of research paradigms. Guba and Lincoln (1994) argue that social reality is more fluid, requiring a more adaptive and mixed-methods approach to research design. Similarly, Creswell and Poth (2017) advocate for pragmatism in research design, where the choice of methods is driven by the research problem rather than strict adherence to pre-defined categories [4,5].

The Structure of Research Design

Blaikie (2009) outlines thirteen essential steps in research design, ranging from identifying a research problem to addressing potential limitations. Each step is intended to provide a systematic framework for developing a rigorous study.

Step	Description	Critique
Selecting the Research Problem	Identifying a significant social phenomenon.	Lacks guidance on defining novel research problems (Silverman, 2015).
Motives and Aims	Clarifying personal and academic motivations.	Overlooks the influence of funding and institutional constraints (Bryman, 2016).
Research Questions and Objectives	Establishing guiding questions.	Does not fully address iterative research processes (Creswell & Poth, 2017).
Literature Review	Contextualizing research within existing studies.	Favors a traditional, deductive approach over exploratory literature mapping (Grix, 2010).
Research Strategies	Selecting qualitative, quantitative, or mixed-methods.	Restricts methodological creativity by adhering to fixed paradigms (Denzin & Lincoln, 2011).

While Blaikie's stepwise approach ensures methodological rigor, it may limit creativity and adaptability in research. Inductive and exploratory studies often deviate from rigid sequential frameworks, requiring a more iterative and flexible approach [6].

The Role of Literature Review

- Blaikie (2009) emphasizes that literature reviews serve two key purposes:
- **Identifying Knowledge Gaps:** A deductive, positivist approach where hypotheses are derived from prior studies.
- **Addressing Pressing Social Issues:** A more applied approach, where research questions are shaped by societal challenges.
- However, Blaikie's deductive orientation is somewhat restrictive. Other scholars argue that literature reviews should be iterative, allowing for continuous refinement of research questions as data is collected [7]. Moreover, Blaikie underestimates the role of systematic reviews and meta-analyses, which have become central to modern social research [8].

Research Questions in Social Research

Blaikie (2009) argues that well-formulated research questions are the foundation of any study, categorizing them into:

- **'What' Questions:** Descriptive inquiries.
 - **'Why' Questions:** Explanatory and causal studies.
 - **'How' Questions:** Practical and intervention-based research.
- While Blaikie's categorization provides a useful starting point, it oversimplifies the complexity of research inquiry. Some scholars propose a broader classification that includes:
- **Process-Oriented Questions:** Focused on longitudinal change [9].
 - **Comparative Questions:** Evaluating differences across groups or contexts [10].
 - **Critical Questions:** Addressing power structures and social inequalities [11].
 - By limiting research questions to three basic categories, Blaikie overlooks the diversity of social inquiry, particularly in critical and feminist research traditions [12].

Research Strategies and Logic of Inquiry

Blaikie (2009) identifies four primary research strategies:

- **Inductive Strategy:** Theory-building through empirical observation.
- **Deductive Strategy:** Theory-testing through hypothesis-driven research.
- **Retroductive Strategy:** Identifying hidden causal mechanisms.

- **Abductive Strategy:** Understanding social reality from participants' perspectives.

While these categories are valuable, scholars argue that real-world research often blends multiple strategies. For instance, mixed-methods research integrates both inductive and deductive approaches to provide a more holistic understanding of social phenomena.

Inductive Research Strategy

Inductive research emphasizes pattern recognition, but it is criticized for its potential for researcher bias. Bryman (2016) warns that pure induction assumes patterns will naturally emerge, ignoring the role of pre-existing theories in shaping data interpretation.

Deductive Research Strategy

Blaikie's description of deduction aligns with Popper's falsification principle, but critics argue that social research rarely follows a purely deductive structure. Instead, iterative hypothesis revision is often necessary [13].

Retroductive Research Strategy

Retroductive research, as Blaikie describes, focuses on hidden structures influencing social behavior. However, its applicability is debated, as deep causal mechanisms are often difficult to empirically verify [14].

Abductive Research Strategy

Abduction prioritizes understanding social reality from the actors' perspective, aligning with interpretivist traditions. Critics, however, argue that Blaikie understates the challenges of ensuring validity in abductive research, particularly the risk of subjective bias [15].

Conclusion

Blaikie's *Designing Social Research* provides a structured and detailed guide to research methodology, making it a valuable resource for social scientists. His categorization of research strategies and emphasis on research questions contribute significantly to methodological discussions. However, his rigid classifications limit flexibility in research design, particularly in interdisciplinary and emergent methodologies. Scholars argue for a more dynamic approach that integrates mixed-methods, reflexivity, and iterative theory-building (Creswell & Poth, 2017). Ultimately, while Blaikie's work is foundational, contemporary research methods increasingly advocate for pragmatic and flexible approaches, moving beyond rigid paradigms.

References

1. Grix, J. (2010). *The foundations of research* (2nd ed.). Palgrave Macmillan.
2. Bryman, A. (2016). *Social research methods*. Oxford university press.
3. Blaikie, N. (2009). *Designing social research: The logic of anticipation*. Polity Press.
4. Guba, E. G., & Lincoln, Y. S. (1994). Competing paradigms in qualitative research. In N. K. Denzin & Y. S. Lincoln (Eds.), *Handbook of qualitative research* (pp. 105–117). SAGE Publications.
5. Creswell, J. W., & Poth, C. N. (2016). *Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing among five approaches*. Sage publications.
6. Silverman, D. (2015). *Interpreting qualitative data* (5th ed.). SAGE Publications
7. Boote, D. N., & Beile, P. (2005). Scholars before researchers: On the centrality of the dissertation literature review in research preparation. *Educational Researcher*, 34(6), 3–15.
8. Petticrew, M., & Roberts, H. (2006). *Systematic reviews in the social sciences: A practical guide*. Blackwell Publishing.
9. Yin, R. K. (2017). *Case study research and applications: Design and methods*. Sage publications.
10. Ragin, C. C. (2014). *The comparative method: Moving beyond qualitative and quantitative strategies*. Univ of California Press.
11. Denzin, N. K., & Lincoln, Y. S. (Eds.). (2011). *The Sage handbook of qualitative research*. sage.
12. Harding, S. G. (Ed.). (1987). *Feminism and methodology: Social science issues*. Indiana University Press.
13. Gerring, John. "Social science methodology: A unified framework." (2012): 472-474.
14. Sayer, A. (2000). *Realism and social science*. SAGE Publications.
15. Schutz, A. (1973). *Collected papers I: The problem of social reality*. Springer Science & Business Media.
16. Tashakkori, A. *SAGE handbook of mixed methods in social & behavioral research*. Sage, 2010.