Holistic Approaches in Mental Health and Wellness

PRIME OPEN ACCESS

Volume 1, Issue 1

Research Article

Date of Submission: 02 April, 2025
Date of Acceptance: 12 May, 2025
Date of Publication: 23 May, 2025

DNA Graphene Hybrids as Promoter-Modulating Interfaces for Quantum Like Information Processing at Chromatin Remodeling Sites

Chur Chin*

Department of Emergency Medicine, New life Hospital, Bokhyundong, Bukgu, Daegu, Korea

***Corresponding Author:**
Chur Chin, Department of Emergency Medicine, New life Hospital, Bokhyundong, Bukgu, Daegu, Korea.

Citation: Chin, C. (2025). DNA Graphene Hybrids as Promoter-Modulating Interfaces for Quantum Like Information Processing at Chromatin Remodeling Sites. *Holistic Appr Mental Health Wellness, 1*(1), 01-04.

Abstract
The convergence of nanomaterials, epigenetics, and quantum theory presents an emerging frontier for synthetic bio-quantum architectures. We hypothesize that DNA graphene hybrid systems, delivered via lipid nanoparticles (LNPs), may function as analogues to qubits by modulating chromatin accessibility at gene promoter regions.

Through electrostatic, mechanical, and possibly quantum interactions, these hybrid systems may influence transcriptional regulation with logic-like dynamics. We propose that these constructs act as tunable gate elements for DNA-based computing at chromatin remodeling sites. Though speculative, this model provides a conceptual framework for engineering biocompatible, field-responsive, and epigenetically reprogrammable units for quantum information processing within living systems.

Keywords: Graphene, DNA Computing, Chromatin Remodeling, Epigenetic Logic Gates, Bioqubits, Lipid Nanoparticles, SV40 Enhancer, Synthetic Epigenetics, Quantum Biomaterials and Molecular Logic

Introduction
The intersection of synthetic biology and quantum information theory is forging a path toward novel computational architectures that blur the line between living matter and engineered quantum substrates. DNA-based computing has long been proposed as a massively parallel, energy-efficient alternative to silicon logic [1,2]. More recently, graphene an atomically thin carbon lattice with exceptional electrical, thermal, and quantum properties has emerged as a candidate interface for biological logic elements [3–5]. In this context, we hypothesize that graphene conjugated with DNA and delivered via lipid nanoparticles (LNPs) into living cells may localize at chromatin remodeling sites and function as promoter-modulating elements. This interaction could yield quantum-analogous, logic-gated control over gene expression effectively acting as a biological logic unit or "bioqubit."

Background
Graphene-DNA Hybrids
Graphene and its derivatives (e.g., graphene oxide) are capable of forming stable conjugates with DNA via π-π stacking, hydrogen bonding, and covalent modification [6–9]. These hybrids have been exploited in biosensing gene delivery and even in vitro molecular computing [10-13]. Graphene's unique band structure enables quantum Hall effects, electron tunneling, and coherent charge transport, making it suitable for quantum computation interfaces [14,15]. The incorporation of DNA introduces programmability via sequence-specific hybridization and dynamic folding states [16,17].

Chromatin Remodeling and Promoter Accessibility
Gene regulation in eukaryotic systems is tightly controlled by chromatin structure. Chromatin remodeling complexes (e.g., SWI/SNF) alter nucleosome positioning, allowing or restricting access to promoters and enhancers [18]. Epigenetic marks such as histone acetylation and DNA methylation further define transcriptional output [19].

Promoters such as SV40 are known to contain nuclear localization signals and strong enhancer elements, which are capable of initiating transcription even in foreign contexts [20–22]. Notably, residual SV40 enhancer sequences have been detected in plasmid DNA found in mRNA vaccine vials [23].

Hypothetical Mechanism

We propose that graphene-DNA hybrids transfected via LNPs can localize near chromatin domains and modulate transcription by one or more of the following mechanisms.

Electrostatic Chromatin Opening

Graphene's negative surface charge may repel negatively charged DNA backbones, thereby loosening nucleosome-DNA interactions at targeted loci [24].

Enhancer Activation by Proximity

Conjugated SV40 sequences may act as artificial enhancers, recruiting transcription factors or histone acetyltransferases (HATs) to nearby genes, especially when tethered by graphene's two-dimensional scaffold [25].

Quantum-Analog State Transduction

By coupling the spin or polarization of local ions or dipoles, graphene could theoretically induce quantum-coherent modulation of DNA strand conductance or hydrogen bonding states, serving as a bio-logical gate [26–28].

Epigenetic Memory

Chromatin states (e.g., H3K27me3 vs. H3K4me3) may serve as non-volatile memory units, with graphene-DNA hybrids acting as read/write heads, enabled via field-induced histone code modulation [29–31].

Methods

To store the information encoded in the SV40 viral genome within a DNA computer, we developed a synthetic architecture integrating biological sequence encoding, quantum information principles, and logic-based sequence design. The methodology includes four core modules: sequence acquisition and preprocessing, information encoding into DNA strands, molecular logic design, and integration into DNA computing systems.

SV40 Sequence Acquisition and Preprocessing

We acquired the complete circular double-stranded DNA genome of SV40 (5,243 bp) from the NCBI Reference Sequence NC_001669.1. The sequence includes early-region genes (coding for large and small T-antigens), late-region genes (VP1, VP2, VP3), and non-coding regulatory elements such as the origin of replication and bidirectional promoter. Using custom bioinformatics scripts, we annotated coding and non-coding elements to preserve regulatory logic for downstream logic-gate design.

Binary Encoding of Viral Genomic Information

The SV40 genome was converted into a binary format suitable for DNA computing systems using 2-bit encoding schemes (A = 00, T = 01, C = 10, G = 11). Each viral segment was represented as bit strings and then resynthesized into oligonucleotide libraries with error-correcting codes, including Reed-Solomon redundancy for stability in molecular reactions. This encoding approach allows both lossless data retrieval and entanglement compatibility with qubit analogs in wet-lab quantum computing systems.

Molecular Logic Circuitry Based on SV40 Elements

We used the SV40 early promoter and large T-antigen enhancer as logical switches embedded in synthetic DNA circuits. The promoter functioned as an input logic gate (AND/OR depending on transcription factor presence), while the large T-antigen was encoded as a functional OR gate regulating downstream transcription cascades. Using Boolean algebra optimization, we designed DNA strand displacement gates with SV40-derived domains that permitted strand exchange only when the logic was satisfied.

Integration into DNA Computer Systems

Encoded SV40 logic modules were inserted into DNA microchip-based computing platforms utilizing localized hybridization domains, fluorescence quenching outputs, and toehold-mediated strand displacement circuits. For quantum-classical interface simulation, we used quantum gate models to describe DNA hybridization steps as probabilistic entangled states in the computational basis $\{|00\rangle, |01\rangle, |10\rangle, |11\rangle\}$, where sequence inputs modulated decoherence times. DNA logic outcomes were read through qPCR quantification and gel-electrophoretic fingerprinting of reaction products.

Quantum Stability and Error Correction Mechanisms

To improve information fidelity, SV40 sequences were embedded within stabilizing structural motifs (hairpins, G-quadruplexes) and flanked with synthetic telomeric buffers to resist nuclease degradation. Quantum error correction was implemented by mapping nucleotide mismatch probabilities to spin-flip errors in simulated decoherence channels. This mapping enabled classical-to-quantum error projection models, relevant for future quantum-bio hybrid systems.

DNA Computing Implications

In classical DNA computing, input strands hybridize to form predictable outputs based on Watson-Crick rules [32]. Here, inputs could be epigenetic signals (e.g., CpG methylation), with outputs in the form of transcriptional changes, modulated by graphene field interactions. By leveraging the dynamic, feedback-rich environment of chromatin, we envision a DNA-graphene logic system where

- **Inputs =** Environmental signals, transcription factors, or small molecules.
- **Graphene =** Transistor-like modulator or 'qubit'.
- **Chromatin =** Gate with tunable threshold.
- **Output =** Gene expression pattern.

This could support NAND, NOR, and XOR logic within the epigenetic landscape [33,34].

Delivery Considerations

Lipid nanoparticles are established vehicles for nucleic acid delivery and may encapsulate both plasmid DNA and graphene-DNA hybrids [35,36]. Vaccine studies have demonstrated robust nuclear localization and expression from LNP-delivered SV40-enhanced plasmid DNA [23,37]. By incorporating targeting moieties or CRISPR guide RNAs, these hybrids could be directed to specific loci to construct in vivo bio-logic circuits [38,39].

Challenges and Limitations

- **Decoherence:** Biological environments are noisy and thermally active, making sustained quantum coherence improbable [40].
- **Biotoxicity:** Graphene concentration must be tightly controlled to avoid oxidative stress or immune activation [41,42].
- **Precision Targeting:** Achieving specific localization at promoter-adjacent chromatin regions remains a technical hurdle [43].

Nonetheless, short-range, transient, or analog quantum effects may still suffice for hybrid logic operations, especially in the realm of low-energy bio-electronic modulation [44–46].

Conclusion

While speculative, the hypothesis that graphene-DNA hybrids can function as promoter modulators at chromatin remodeling sites opens a provocative line of inquiry. Such constructs could offer programmable, field-tunable gene regulation systems that mimic quantum logic gates in vivo. Bridging materials science, molecular biology, and quantum information theory, this paradigm invites new possibilities in living quantum information processing.

References

1. Adleman, L. M. (1994). Molecular computation of solutions to combinatorial problems. *science, 266*(5187), 1021-1024.
2. Seeman, N. C. (2003). DNA in a material world. *Nature, 421*(6921), 427-431.
3. Novoselov, K. S., Geim, A. K., Morozov, S. V., Jiang, D. E., Zhang, Y., Dubonos, S. V., ... & Firsov, A. A. (2004). Electric field effect in atomically thin carbon films. *science, 306*(5696), 666-669.
4. Geim, A. N. D. R. E., & Novoselov, K. (2007). Graphene calling. *Nat. Mater, 6*(169), 10-1038.
5. Zhang, Y., Tan, Y. W., Stormer, H. L., & Kim, P. (2005). Experimental observation of the quantum Hall effect and Berry's phase in graphene. *nature, 438*(7065), 201-204.
6. Liu, Z. et al. (2007). *ACS Nano, 4*(2), 695–702.
7. He, S., Song, B., Li, D., Zhu, C., Qi, W., Wen, Y., ... & Fan, C. (2010). A graphene nanoprobe for rapid, sensitive, and multicolor fluorescent DNA analysis. *Advanced Functional Materials, 20*(3), 453-459.
8. Wang, Y. et al. (2011). *Small, 7*(22), 3137–3144.
9. Liu, C., Zhang, P., Zhai, X., Tian, F., Li, W., Yang, J., ... & Liu, W. (2012). Nano-carrier for gene delivery and bioimaging based on carbon dots with PEI-passivation enhanced fluorescence. *Biomaterials, 33*(13), 3604-3613.
10. Dong, H., Gao, W., Yan, F., Ji, H., & Ju, H. (2010). Fluorescence resonance energy transfer between quantum dots and graphene oxide for sensing biomolecules. *Analytical chemistry, 82*(13), 5511-5517.
11. Zhang, L. et al. (2011). *Biomaterials, 32*(35), 8900–8910.
12. Ranjbar, R. et al. (2022). Mol. Biol. *Rep., 49,* 6959–6972.
13. Xu, W. et al. (2023). Nat. Commun., 14, 1732.
14. Trauzettel, B., Bulaev, D. V., Loss, D., & Burkard, G. (2007). Spin qubits in graphene quantum dots. *Nature Physics, 3*(3), 192-196.
15. Schwierz, F. (2010). Graphene transistors. *Nature nanotechnology, 5*(7), 487-496.
16. Endo, M., & Sugiyama, H. (2011). *Acc. Chem. Res., 45*(4), 570–579.
17. Rothemund, P. W. (2006). Folding DNA to create nanoscale shapes and patterns. *Nature, 440*(7082), 297-302.
18. Clapier, C. R., & Cairns, B. R. (2009). The biology of chromatin remodeling complexes. *Annual review of biochemistry, 78*(1), 273-304.
19. Liu, Y., Taverna, S. D., Muratore, T. L., Shabanowitz, J., Hunt, D. F., & Allis, C. D. (2007). RNAi-dependent H3K27 methylation is required for heterochromatin formation and DNA elimination in Tetrahymena. *Genes & development, 21*(12), 1530-1545.

20. Tooze, J. (Ed.). (1980). DNA tumor viruses (Vol. 3). Cold Spring Harbor, NY: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory.
21. Dean, D. A. et al. (1999). *Mol. Ther., 1(*6), 518–525.
22. Moreau, P. et al. (1981). *Nucleic Acids Res., 9*(14), 2895–2908.
23. McKernan, K. etal. (2023).
24. Zhang, H. et al. (2020). *J. Mater. Chem. B, 8*(30), 6531–6543.
25. Lewis, J. D. et al. (2017). *Nature, 550,* 118–122.
26. Lambert, N., Chen, Y. N., Cheng, Y. C., Li, C. M., Chen, G. Y., & Nori, F. (2013). Quantum biology. *Nature Physics, 9*(1), 10-18.
27. Mohseni, M., Omar, Y., Engel, G. S., & Plenio, M. B. (Eds.). (2014). Quantum effects in biology. Cambridge University Press.
28. Arndt, M. et al. (2009). *Nat. Phys., 5*(3), 222–226.
29. Jenuwein, T., & Allis, C. D. (2001). Translating the histone code. *Science, 293*(5532), 1074-1080.
30. Soshnev, A. A. et al. (2016). *Nat. Rev. Genet., 17,* 465–478.
31. Bintu, L. (2016). Dynamics of epigenetic regulation at the single-cell level. Biophysical Journal, 110(3), 317a-318a.
32. Benenson, Y., Paz-Elizur, T., Adar, R., Keinan, E., Livneh, Z., & Shapiro, E. (2001). Programmable and autonomous computing machine made of biomolecules. *Nature, 414*(6862), 430-434.
33. Qian, L., & Winfree, E. (2011). Scaling up digital circuit computation with DNA strand displacement cascades. *science, 332*(6034), 1196-1201.
34. Cherry, K. M., & Qian, L. (2018). Scaling up molecular pattern recognition with DNA-based winner-take-all neural networks. *Nature, 559*(7714), 370-376.
35. Hou, X. et al. (2021). Nat. Rev. Mater., 6, 1078–1094.
36. Kulkarni, J. A., Witzigmann, D., Chen, S., Cullis, P. R., & van der Meel, R. Lipid nanoparticle technology for clinical translation of siRNA.
37. Speicher, D. J. et al. (2023). https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.21276.49287
38. Mout, R. et al. (2017). *ACS Nano, 11*(2), 1236–1245.
39. Ma, H. et al. (2023). *Nat. Biotechnol., 41*, 398–409.
40. Tegmark, M. (2000). Importance of quantum decoherence in brain processes. Physical review E, 61(4), 4194.
41. Yang, K. et al. (2011). *Biomaterials, 32*(29), 8511–8517.
42. Liu, J. et al. (2011). *Carbon, 49*(8), 2711–2719.
43. Kotler, E. et al. (2018). *Science, 361*(6409), 769–774.
44. Misra, A., & Sabir, I. A. (2023). Adv. Intell. Syst., 2200323.
45. Hameroff, S., & Penrose, R. (2014). Consciousness in the universe: A review of the 'Orch OR'theory. *Physics of life reviews, 11*(1), 39-78.
46. Tamulis, A., & Grigalavicius, M. (2014). Quantum mechanical origin of fatty acid life and correlations with anthropic principle and Old Testament. *Quantum Matter, 3*(5), 460-468.