

Volume 2, Issue 1

Research Article

Date of Submission: 31 Aug, 2026

Date of Acceptance: 24 Sep, 2026

Date of Publication: 01 Oct, 2026

Life Sciences in an Age of Artificial Intelligence

V. Basil Hans* 

Srinivas University, India

***Corresponding Author:** V. Basil Hans, Srinivas University, India.

Citation: Hans, V. B. (2026). Life Sciences in an Age of Artificial Intelligence. *Res J Cell Sci*, 2(1), 01-05.

Abstract

Artificial intelligence (AI) is revolutionising the life sciences like never before, allowing for speedier, more precise, and data-centric ways to approach biological research, healthcare, and medication discovery. The integration of machine learning, deep learning, natural language processing and other AI-based technologies has opened new avenues for the analysis of complex biological data sets, discovery of disease biomarkers, prediction of molecular interactions, acceleration of drug development and facilitation of personalised healthcare. The application of AI-driven technologies in genomes, proteomics, medical imaging, clinical research, and biotechnology is growing, helping with the identification of new therapeutic targets and enhancing diagnostic and prognostic skills. These developments have not solved the problems of data quality, privacy, algorithmic bias, interpretability, regulatory compliance and ethical issues. Successful adoption of AI thus demands a collaborative interdisciplinary effort amongst biologists, doctors, data scientists and regulatory specialists. This article examines the developing uses of AI in the life sciences, outlining the promise of AI to speed up scientific discovery and enhance healthcare results, as well as the constraints and ethical issues related to its use. The intersection of AI and life sciences is set to transform established research and clinical practices, unlocking new opportunities for innovation and precision medicine.

Key words: Intelligence Artificial Learning Machines, Life Sciences, Drug Discovery, Individualised Medicine

Introduction to the Subject

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has Shown the Ability to Change Practically all Disciplines

In life sciences, artificial intelligence may use large amounts of biomolecular, clinical and other relevant data to speed and improve research, diagnostics, precision medicine and many other applications. In addition to a broad range of AI techniques, life sciences data sets and processes have special characteristics that govern the choice of appropriate algorithms. Data and theory interplay is another major difference between the two AI tool sets, and it is at the core of both computer science and life sciences. AI has a lot of promise to transform the life sciences. Having a clear idea of the algorithms in play and where they may be best applied can assist to grow and hone the areas that will benefit the most.

There are four issues that are particularly important to understanding AI's impact on a certain discipline. First, what are the typical data types for the field? Secondly, where does one get data, and then, how is it controlled? Third, what are the generally used AI techniques? Fourth, what are the mathematical models behind the established procedures? No consistent answers are available in current literature for these topics, particularly in interdisciplinary areas like biology, chemistry and medicine. This survey addresses this gap by mapping the impact of AI on biological sciences.

And, is AI transforming basic knowledge or only accelerating traditional learning? Such a distinction is, of course, relative to a particular subject or field of study. Computer science has fundamental subjects such as algorithms, but AI techniques are already showing that they can quickly generate fresh scrutiny, hypotheses and models without understanding but with data. Parallel investigation of AI characterising life sciences is being explored in parallel biological, chemical and medical domains [1-3].

Foundations of Artificial Intelligence in Life Sciences

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has made a significant impact on many areas of human endeavour, from computing and engineering to art, music and philosophy. Today's AI is not merely statistical analysis of data. It represents a paradigm

shift in cognition itself. AI approaches can find complicated ideas and hence obtain new information even beyond the initial data. We are already in the era of data-deficient, data-hungry, and transformational-learning abstraction; AI has a bright future for life sciences [4]. Seeing AI through the lens of one of its common architectures, the non-linear, multi-layer artificial neural network, gives us a valuable view of its capacity to revolutionise the science of life, and the interpretation of life-like, and indeed intelligent, behaviour.

Most of this understanding comes from an operative theory of interpretation defined mathematically because biological information is largely described in terms of sights, signals and spatiotemporal occurrences. The base of Life Sciences under Artificial Intelligence considers biotic material whether humans, other animals or plants, organic culture, brain activities, sensory phenomena, biological recognition, cognition, climate change, environmental catastrophes, draught, pollution, contaminations, virus propagation, COVID pandemic and deteriorating flora and fauna. In the domain, related thermal, mechanical, electrical, radiant and chemical signals coupled with signal source or stimulus, sensations or perceptual states of afflicted items and sensor or effector devices [2].

Biological Research Data Ecosystems and Etiquettes

Major developments in life sciences and biomedicine increasingly depend on large-scale data and analysis techniques based on artificial intelligence (AI). However, boosting reproducibility in these areas requires developing robust data ecosystems and following known best practices for data sharing and management [6]. The FAIR principles (findability, accessibility, interoperability and reusability) are a useful paradigm for the administration and stewardship of scientific data. Efficient data archiving and lifecycle management are vital for supporting data-intensive knowledge development, enabling long-term preservation of results and materials, and minimising the danger of irretrievable loss. Embedding education and training in data management techniques into the curriculum is therefore crucial to promoting better-informed handling across the data lifecycle, and addressing widely acknowledged gaps in present practice.

Infrastructure that is specialised to support shared repositories and open scientific projects enables data sharing and collaborative exchanges between national and international research groups [6]. Investigators themselves readily accept that good data assessment, administration and stewardship are important to enhancing the integrity and success of biological research more broadly. Data quality remains a challenge, particularly where records have not benefited from curatorial input by either experienced specialists or the original data creators. Many freely available databases are not peer-reviewed or curated, and information on the reliability of individual items remains largely field-dependent. As the number of linked databases increases, the risk that relatively unreliable data become embedded in ever-expanding, cross-linked collections multiplies. The relational character of data also makes assessing quality more complicated: what counts as data or noise depends on the criteria, aims, and methodologies applied.

These factors suggest a move toward conceptualising data as relational by nature. This view fosters context-sensitive curation which is useful for the evaluation of the validity of proposed extrapolations from initial studies on model organisms to other important species. Association of records with original samples enhances repeatability and transparency by conditioning the interpretation of database contents on an awareness of provenance. Nevertheless, an understanding of the historical and contextual trajectory of facts before they enter a given scope of research may still be crucial to establishing their relevance. The use of automated analysis and mining of large-screening datasets highlights the need to identify their origin and provenance.

Many scholars link large-scale data-centric mining directly with convincing and, more critically, research-determining results. However, the data obtained from such attempts need to be contextualised in the specific research goals being investigated and compared with equally comprehensive, grand-scale investigations pursued through the construction of distinct organisational and methodological procedures. Enhancing data infrastructures and interpretive frameworks calls for different fields of study to participate in critical-reflective conversation across the borders of scholarship, fully aware of the epistemological, logistical and operational parameters that characterise each discipline.

AI-Based Methods in Genomics and Proteomics

Machine learning, especially deep learning, is crucial for genomic and proteomic studies [7]. The application of genomic technology powered by machine learning extracts information from DNA sequences and subsequent analysis, which allows precision medicine. It assists in the early identification of cancer by analysing circulating tumour DNA (ctDNA) [3]. De novo prediction of protein structure from an amino acid sequence is an essential topic in biology and engineering that cannot be solved by a trial-and-error technique using existing structural databases. AI not only speeds up the processing of single-cell and spatial omics data but also helps interpretation by predicting transcriptome data from epigenetic markers or spatial locations to reconstruct cellular dynamics and cell-cell interactions.

The majority of current AI tools suffer from a deficiency in interoperability, which restricts their usefulness for a range of in situ transcriptomics investigations. The amazing power of deep learning to automatically extract meaningful features hidden in large-scale biological data by hierarchical representation learning, from low-level features to high-level ideas.

Artificial Intelligence in Clinical and Translational Science

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is making a major impact on clinical research, clinical trial design, medication development,

clinical data processing, and patient care. Artificial intelligence methodologies and technology back research activities embedded in the practice of medicine or evaluation of drugs. As the ability to acquire demographic and health care data has risen exponentially, so has the application of AI in clinical and translational science. COVID-19 epidemic has led to a surge of interest, acceptance and financing in this field of AI. Early users of AI in healthcare include research organisations and healthcare providers looking to enhance treatment quality, drug development success and patient enrolment in trials, alongside pharmaceutical corporations and clinical trial sponsors.

Three fields of clinical and translational science have experienced rapid interest and investment in AI. First, diagnostic support with image- and signal-analysis AI helps healthcare providers to interpret and visualise X-rays, mammograms, MRI, CT scans, echocardiograms, electrocardiograms, pathology slides and other diagnostic modalities, supporting early initiation of preventive and therapeutic measures. AI models that assess the genetic changes, protein markers and structural aspects of tumours permit precision-medicine techniques in the selection of targeted treatments. Advanced models trained to mine clinical notes, medical literature and patient-generated material from portals, forums and social media will support clinicians in diagnosis, treatment selection, health maintenance, behaviour change and prevention.

Second, clinical utility of pharmacogenomics, drug-repurposing ideas and therapeutic agent-dosage modifications is increased by prescriptive modelling of outcomes based on patient-specific data. Data from preclinical studies, electronic-health-record databases, wearables, questionnaires, omics profiles and other sources are analysed with the help of standard artificial intelligence algorithms to estimate effects of various medicines on single and combinatorial therapy regimens. These artificial intelligence algorithms anticipate the possible benefit and risk of prescribed pharmaceuticals, optimise individual-agent and multi-drug strategies, offer activities to maximise results and suggest alternatives when patient deterioration is projected.

Third, AI models that mine early trial and post-market research data and biomedical knowledge archives can guide candidate-selection decisions for medications, devices and diagnostics. Similar methodologies identify medication, device and diagnostic candidates available for repurposing from literature, studies and preclinical data. Advanced methodologies are used to help pick study population features, endpoint consideration, trial-discipline focus, test facility suggestions and formulation-of-record development. Adherence is improved throughout the study duration using AI approaches that evaluate trial integrity and identify the beginning of adverse events. Algorithms look at investigator participation, medication-supply status and patient-device adherence to estimate projected completion time and model the support network. AI-supported designs optimise trial specification and find relevant sponsors and suitable study sites.

Computational Intelligence and Neuroscience

Neuroscience and artificial intelligence are deeply intertwined with the physics of dynamical systems, philosophy, and psychology. Each discipline aims at relating observations at the molecular, synaptic, neural or behavioural level to their corresponding functions. David Marr's three levels of analysis, computational, algorithmic, and implementational, have been influential in uniting different viewpoints, and offer a conceptual framework for discovering knowledge in any area. The convergence of simulation-based approaches, Neuro-AI and high-throughput data allows to integrate these layers into a comprehensive framework organised around observations, models, algorithms and functions. Bidirectional interactions between these levels inform the interdisciplinary study of adaptation and resilience in the living world [8].

The shortcomings of existing AI, especially deep neural nets for perception, language and reinforcement learning, have grown more and more obvious. Still difficult are abstraction, generalisation, causal learning, cognitive flexibility, world model development, and energy efficiency. At the same time, neuroscientists are beginning to include deep neural networks into their methodologies and to look for representations predicted by deep learning. The big question is whether understanding of brain based intelligence could lead to major improvements in AI. Improved cooperation between neuroscientists and AI researchers may help address problems faced by both professions. The goal is to determine the neurological characteristics that underlie adaptive intelligence in humans and animals. Not every biological element matters equally. Yet the idealised neural properties that the AI framework articulates propose directions for brain-inspired novelty. This multidisciplinary initiative seeks to combine principles from biology, neurology, cognitive science, and dynamical systems to provide insight into neural computation and inform future research approaches [9].

Attention science, the study of how systems pick information in uncertain, changeable settings, is intricately connected to progress toward self-learning, autonomous systems, and to understanding the brain's adaptive intelligence. Dynamic attention affects processing of information across different temporal and spatial scales, facilitates learning by selecting relevant experiences for memory, and promotes generalisation by selectively reusing knowledge across tasks. In the brain or in artificial systems, filling temporal pauses with appropriate activities allows us to generalise more and adapt to change. It is still an open question whether these processes are necessary for AI to achieve universal intelligence, and more work needs to be done in both neuroscience and AI. Electronic video games, particularly those with partial observability, nonstationarity, multiagent components, and long-term planning requirements, offer a viable testbed for the development of autonomous AI that can operate in uncertain, dynamic situations. Developments regarding neuroscience are mirrored by the advances in multiscale neural network algorithms which are enabling the move from basic turn based games to sophisticated, continuous real time strategy games [10].

Ethical, Legal and Social Implications

Ethical, legal, and social challenges in AI in medicine include respect for patient autonomy, bias, and the importance of transparent and accountable deployment [11]. The challenges are to mirror human empathy in healthcare and to practise trustworthy AI. Regulatory structures are important to address ethical considerations and prevent harm while fostering innovation. Public governance and social engagement are key to managing the impact of AI in healthcare.

Ethical, legal and societal issues of AI in healthcare include justice and vulnerable groups [12]. Access and use of health data has raised privacy issues, indicating the necessity for the protection of patient privacy. Challenges include measuring bias in standard artificial intelligence systems, conducting thorough testing, managing hazards such as automation bias and questions of responsibility, and creating suitable regulation and guidelines. Attempts at regulation like the European GDPR, US privacy legislation, and others try to protect individual rights. Ethical considerations are the reduction of low value care by addressing cognitive prejudices, ensuring that AI benefits society, and balancing technology developments with accountability.

Methodological and Technical Aspects

Improving data quality is beneficial for biological investigations and for local validation in other contexts. A general quality-control pipeline for biological datasets assesses integrity, consistency and fitness of data for downstream modelling tasks. Metadata exchange using standard ontologies of biological terms (e.g. Gene Ontology, Cell Ontology) improves dataset findability. Reproducibility of AI methods in biological studies is improved by reporting validation cohorts, generalisation tests, and appropriate statistical assessments.

Critical decision making models must operate in full transparency. Interpretability of AI techniques is essentially different from classical statistical methods. The former infer hidden data representations and joint distributions from raw feature sets, while the latter directly estimate if-then dependencies on domain-specific variables. The preservation of extra dimensions and multi-omic datasets further complicates the interpretation of both regional and sample level. Post-hoc explanations provide model-agnostic explanations of specific instance behaviour, but they incur additional computational overhead; therefore, intrinsic interpretability is usually preferred. Specifying validation regimes, biological relevance and other input data to clarify limitations and uncertainty, especially in life sciences where the aim of investigation is to extend knowledge and generate new insight.

Future Directions and Strategic Uses

In this context, AI-based methods are of particular interest, as they enable the analysis of the large, diverse and complex data produced by biological research. AI is being incorporated into life sciences in three critical areas in response to these changes. Foremost among these are genomics and proteomics; the explosive increase in analysis methods reflects accelerating research on the relationship between gene/protein characteristics and biological traits [13]. AI-driven computational representation of the cell and the causal model of biological processes underpin several efforts in biological research. These models identify the perspective through which biological phenomena are examined and the controls of interest throughout the analysis [14]. AI plays a pivotal role across the life sciences. Ensuring thorough and strategic integration of AI technologies is vital to fully realize their promise.

Conclusion

Modern artificial intelligence (AI), comprising machine learning (ML) and deep learning (DL), brings forth vast development opportunities in life sciences. In recent years, increasing access to large-scale biological and biomedical datasets spurred notable advances in AI-related methodology and interoperable, secure, and ethically-sound digital ecosystem establishment remains crucial for realizing the full potential of AI and addressing fundamental biological challenges. Intensive and widely appreciated computational studies, spanning the understanding of biological basis for life and human health, underline the value and even urgency of applying AI to these domains.

Presenting an overall objective analysis of the implications of AI applications in life sciences motivates a broader, inclusive, and more informed discussion on pertinent topics, fostering understanding of ongoing developments and helping to identify promising research and application trajectories. Accordingly, AI-enhanced genomics, disease prediction and management, therapeutic discovery and design, and environmental resilience stand out as top priority areas across all life-science domains. Enjoining stakeholders, particularly academia, public and private research institutions, industry, and non-profit organizations, to glean meaningful insights from unanticipated sources or new developments, the survey further encourages early career scientists, through the lenses of aerospace and automated driving, to explore multidisciplinary efforts ranging from safety model establishment and reliability examination to the enrichment of human behaviours in AI-policy development [1].

References

1. Belle, V. "The Quest for Interpretable and Responsible Artificial Intelligence." 2019. The Biochemist 41(5):16-19 DOI:10.1042/BIO04105016
2. Minh Nguyen, T., Nguyen, T., and Tran, T. "Learning to Discover Medicines." 2022. International Journal of Data Science and ..., 2023 – Springer
3. Pillai, N., Ram Das, A., Ayoola, M., Gireesan, G., Nanduri, B., and Ramkumar, M. "EndToEndML: An Open-Source

End-to-End Pipeline for Machine Learning Applications." 2024.

4. Schmidhuber, J. "New Millennium AI and the Convergence of History." 2006.
5. Griffin, P., Khadake, J., LeMay, K., Lewis, S., Orchard, S., Pask, A., Pope, B., Roessner, U., Russell, K., Seemann, T., Treloar, A., Tyagi, S., Christiansen, J., Dayalan, S., Gladman, S., Hangartner, S., Hayden, H., Ho, W., Keeble-Gagnère, G., Korhonen, P., Neish, P., Prestes, P., Richardson, M., Watson-Haigh, N., Wyres, K., Young, N., and Schneider, M. "Best practice data life cycle approaches for the life sciences." 2018. Australian Research Data Commons (ARDC) onash Bioinformatics Platform School of Biological Sciences
6. Leonelli, S. "The challenges of big data biology." 2019. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
7. Park, Y., Heider, D., and Hauschild, A. C. "Integrative Analysis of Next-Generation Sequencing for Next-Generation Cancer Research toward Artificial Intelligence." 2021. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
8. Naud, R. and Longtin, A. "Connecting levels of analysis in the computational era." 2023. The Journal of Physiology
9. D. Monaco, J., Rajan, K., and M. Hwang, G. "A brain basis of dynamical intelligence for AI and computational neuroscience." 2021. The Journal of Physiology,
10. Paul Badman, R., Trenholm Hills, T., and Akaishi, R. "Multiscale Computation and Dynamic Attention in Biological and Artificial Intelligence." 2020. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
11. Maccaro, A., Stokes, K., Statham, L., He, L., Williams, A., Pecchia, L., and Piaggio, D. "Clearing the Fog: A Scoping Literature Review on the Ethical Issues Surrounding Artificial Intelligence-Based Medical Devices." 2024. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
12. M Carter, S., Rogers, W., Than Win, K., Frazer, H., Richards, B., and Houssami, N. "The ethical, legal and social implications of using artificial intelligence systems in breast cancer care." 2020.
13. Grezenko, H., Alsadoun, L., Farrukh, A., Rehman, A., Shehryar, A., Nathaniel, E., Affaf, M., Khaleel I KH Almadhoun, M., and Quinn, M. "From Nanobots to Neural Networks: Multifaceted Revolution of Artificial Intelligence in Surgical Medicine and Therapeutics." 2023. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
14. Akyüz, K., Cano Abadía, M., Goisau, M., and Th. Mayrhofer, M. "Unlocking the potential of big data and AI in medicine: insights from biobanking." 2024. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov