Biosys Journal Biotechnology & Bioengineering



Volume 1, Issue 1

Short Article

Date of Submission: 29 March, 2025 Date of Acceptance: 28 April, 2025 Date of Publication: 04 May, 2025

Proof of the Riemann Hypothesis

Kato Millen*

China

*Corresponding Author: Kato Millen, China.

Citation: Millen, K. (2025). Proof of the Riemann Hypothesis. *Biosys J Biotechnol Bioeng*, 1(1), 01-03.

Abstract

The Riemann hypothesis states that the real part of all non-trivial zeros of the Riemann zeta function is $\frac{1}{2}$ in the critical strip. In this paper we proved the hypothesis by using the properties of the Riemann zeta functional equation at ζ (s) = 0 and also using the integral representation (Mellin transformation) of the Riemann zeta function in the critical strip.

Introduction

The Riemann hypothesis proposed by Bernhard Riemann in 1859 asserts that the real part of all non-trivial zeros of the Riemann zeta function is $\frac{1}{2}$ in the critical strip where ζ (s) = 0. Proving the hypothesis could have a profound consequence in number theory and also help in understanding the distribution of prime numbers. In this proof, we show that indeed all the non-trivial zeros lie on the critical line in the critical strip of the complex plane.

Proof of the Riemann Hypothesis

The functional equation of the Riemann zeta function shows that;

$$\zeta(s) = 2^s \pi^{(s-1)} \sin\left(\frac{\pi s}{2}\right) \zeta(1-s) \Gamma(1-s).$$

For ζ (s) = 0 whereby s = a + ib, ζ (s) = ζ (1 - s) = 0.

From the Mellin transformation of the Riemann zeta function in the critical strip;

$$\zeta(s) = \frac{1}{(1-2^{1-s})\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty \frac{t^{s-1}}{e^t - 1} dt.$$

When, $\zeta(s) = 0$,

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{s-1}}{e^t - 1} dt = 0. (equation 1)$$

Let's consider $\zeta(1 - \overline{s}) = \zeta(\overline{s}) = 0$ for the conjugate non-trivial zero $\overline{s} = a - ib$.

$$\zeta(1-\bar{s}) = \frac{1}{(1-2^{-\bar{s}})\Gamma(1-\bar{s})} \int_0^\infty \frac{t^{-\bar{s}}}{e^t - 1} dt \quad \int_0^\infty \frac{t^{-\bar{s}}}{e^t - 1} dt = 0. \ (equation \ 2)$$

But (equation 1) = (equation 2) since both equations are equal to zero. Implying that,

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{t^{s-1}}{e^t - 1} dt = \int_0^\infty \frac{t^{-\bar{s}}}{e^t - 1} dt. (equation 3)$$

Biosys J Biotechnol Bioeng, 2025

We observe that the powers(constants) on both integrands must always be equal to each other since both integrals have the same limits and integrands. A proof of this claim can be illustrated using a simple integral shown in the lemma below.

Lemma

Consider two equal integrals with the same limits and same integrands i.e. An equation in the form;

$$\int_c^d t^m \, dt = \int_c^d t^n \, dt.$$

The goal of the lemma is to show that if two integrals are equal to each other with the same limits and integrands, the power constants raised to the integrands of the integrals should also be equal.

Proof of the lemma

We have;

$$\int_c^d t^m \, dt = \int_c^d t^n \, dt.$$

Solving the integrals on both sides of the equation gives;

$$\left(\frac{d^{m+1}}{m+1} - \frac{c^{m+1}}{m+1}\right) = \left(\frac{d^{n+1}}{n+1} - \frac{c^{n+1}}{n+1}\right)$$
$$\left(\frac{d^{m+1}}{m+1} - \frac{c^{m+1}}{m+1}\right) - \left(\frac{d^{n+1}}{n+1} - \frac{c^{n+1}}{n+1}\right) = 0$$

Setting m=n clearly gives;

$$\left(\frac{d^{n+1}}{n+1} - \frac{c^{n+1}}{n+1}\right) - \left(\frac{d^{n+1}}{n+1} - \frac{c^{n+1}}{n+1}\right) = 0.$$

Since the equation above is satisfied, the lemma is proven to be correct.

Continuation of the Proof

From (*equation* 3), we can now claim that the power constants s - 1 and $-\overline{s}$ must be equal for the equation to be satisfied and to follow the lemma we have proven. Hence from

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{t^{s-1}}{e^t - 1} dt = \int_0^\infty \frac{t^{-\bar{s}}}{e^t - 1} dt \text{ (equation 3),}$$

We deduct that;

$$s - 1 = -\overline{s}.$$

$$(a + ib) - 1 = -(a - ib)$$

$$a = \frac{1}{2}.$$

The real part of all the non-trivial zeros of the Riemann zeta function is .

Testing the Result a = to Check for Validity of 2

(equation 3) we had acquired earlier. In (equation 3), we had;

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{t^{s-1}}{e^t-1} dt = \int_0^\infty \frac{t^{-\bar{s}}}{e^t-1} dt.$$

Where $\bar{s} = a - ib$ and s = a + ib

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{t^{(a+ib)-1}}{e^t - 1} dt = \int_0^\infty \frac{t^{-(a-ib)}}{e^t - 1} dt.$$

Setting $a = \frac{1}{2}$, we have;

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{t^{\left(\frac{1}{2}+ib\right)-1}}{e^t-1} dt = \int_0^\infty \frac{t^{-\left(\frac{1}{2}-ib\right)}}{e^t-1} dt.$$

Which Eventually Gives

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{\left(-\frac{1}{2}+ib\right)}}{e^{t}-1} dt = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{\left(-\frac{1}{2}+ib\right)}}{e^{t}-1} dt. (equation 4)$$
$$0 = 0.$$

(Since the integrals came from $\zeta(s) = \zeta(1 - \bar{s}) = 0$.)

From the result we have acquired in (*equation* 4) and observing that the equation is well satisfied, it simply implies that the 1^{condition} that the real part of all the non-trivial zeros of the Riemann zeta function to be is true and valid. 2

Conclusion

The Riemann hypothesis is correct since we have proven that the real part of all the non-

1 trivial zeros of the Riemann zeta function must be on the critical line in the critical strip.

2From this proof of the Riemann hypothesis, we have used the Mellin transformation of the Riemann zeta function in the critical strip, utilized the properties of the zeta functional equation at ζ (s) = 0 and used a lemma that we later proved to show the relationship (equality) between the constant powers raised to integrands of two equal integrals with similar limits and integrands [1-4].

References

- 1. H.M. Edwards. Riemann's Zeta Function. Dover books on mathematics. Dover Publications, 2001.
- 2. Bertrand, J., Bertrand, P., & Ovarlez, J. P. (1995). The mellin transform. *The transforms and applications handbook*.
- 3. Riemann, B. (1859). On the number of prime numbers less than a given quantity (ueber die anzahl der primzahlen unter einer gegebenen grösse). *Monatsberichte der Berliner Akademie*.
- 4. Borwein, P., Choi, S., Rooney, B., & Weirathmueller, A. (Eds.). (2008). *The Riemann hypothesis: a resource for the afficionado and virtuoso alike. New York: Springer.*