Journal of Cardiology Research and Endovascular Therapy
ISSN: 3068-9171

PRIME OPEN ACCESS

Volume 2, Issue 2

Research Article

Date of Submission: 09 Apr, 2026
Date of Acceptance: 18 May, 2026
Date of Publication: 05 Jun, 2026

The Evolution of Auscultation: Harnessing Artificial Intelligence (AI) for the Future of Bedside Diagnostics

Muhammad Iftikhar Hanif[1,2*], Siamak Sarrafan[3], Mariam Mohamed[1,2] and Sumaya Gamaleldin Elashmawy[1,2]

[1]Faculty of Medical Sciences, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, NE1 7RU, United Kingdom

[2]Newcastle University Medicine Malaysia (NUMed), 1, Jalan Sarjana 1, Kota Ilmu, Malaysia

[3]Management and Science University (MSU), Shah Alam, Selangor, Malaysia

Corresponding Author:
Muhammad Iftikhar Hanif, Faculty of Medical Sciences, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, NE1 7RU, United Kingdom.

Citation: Hanif, M. I., Sarrafan, S., Mohamed, M., Elashmawy, S. G. (2026). The Evolution of Auscultation: Harnessing Artificial Intelligence (AI) for the Future of Bedside Diagnostics. *J Cardiol Res Endovasc Ther, 2*(2), 01-08.

Abstract
For a long time, physicians relied on auscultation for detecting abnormalities in the human body. In many cases, it could help early detection of malfunctions in body systems. Auscultation has been particularly important in the examination of the cardiovascular, respiratory and even in the gastrointestinal system. However, it all started to dramatically change after René Laennec introduced the early stethoscope in the nineteenth century. His simple wooden tube changed how doctors approached the bedside. His invention set a new approach for routine clinical assessment. It was one of the most important tools which has shaped everyday practice in the medical field, and it still echoes.

This review aims to look at how our techniques in clinical listening have changed over the years and how we have moved from the basic act of hearing body sounds with bare ears to something technically advanced and data-driven. It traces how digital tools and AI are blended into the modern auscultation by helping to record, filter, and even analyse the sounds. These developments have already affected and will further influence several clinical settings from primary care to cardiology, paediatrics, and other fields of medical practice —where careful sound interpretation is extremely crucial.

Digital stethoscopes provide clearer and stronger sound signals, and AI systems enable them to detect patterns that are otherwise difficult to detect. These developments can help reduce the differences between different observers and even make remote assessment easier. This revolutionary approach reduces the risk of missing important abnormalities and can eventually reduce morbidity and mortality. Even so, several issues remain, including limited datasets, ethical concerns about sensitive patient information, and hesitation among clinicians who are still adapting to these tools.

Auscultation may play an even larger role in the future, especially as healthcare moves toward more personalized and predictive approaches. Wearable devices and remote AI-supported tools are already shifting the boundaries of what bedside assessment can be. The TAPEX framework is one example that encourages bringing these digital methods—such as AI-assisted auscultation—into the routine physical exam to support clearer judgments and greater confidence [1,2].

Keywords: Auscultation, Artificial Intelligence, Digital Stethoscope, Telemedicine, Cardiovascular Diagnostic, Respiratory Diagnostics

Introduction

Auscultation comes from the Latin auscultare, meaning simple "to listen," and that is still the basic idea behind it. Clinicians use the stethoscope to hear sounds from the heart, lungs, and sometimes the abdomen, trying to catch hints of what might be wrong. Even with many new technologies in healthcare, this simple act of listening remains part of almost every physical examination because it often points to early changes in cardiac, lung, or gastrointestinal function [3,4].

Long before the stethoscope existed, doctors placed an ear directly on the patient's chest. This immediate form of auscultation gave them some information, but it also had clear drawbacks. There was no way to make quiet sounds louder, and everything depended on the listener's hearing and interpretation. Hygiene and patient comfort were problems too. Things shifted in 1816 when René Laennec introduced his first wooden stethoscope. It may have looked simple, but it changed the practice almost overnight by giving physicians a cleaner and more comfortable way to listen. Over the next two centuries, the device kept evolving—different materials, better acoustics, and eventually digital features that help pick out and analyse sounds that might otherwise be missed [5–7].

A newer change, and one that feels quite different, involves Artificial Intelligence (AI). AI-supported tools can pick up sound patterns that clinicians sometimes interpret differently, such as faint murmurs or subtle lung noises. As digital stethoscopes and machine-learning models continue to grow more capable, auscultation now sits somewhere between long-standing bedside habits and the modern push toward digital health. The rise of telemedicine has also encouraged these tools, since AI-driven sound analysis can help clinicians work with patients who are far from the clinic. In this review, we look at how auscultation moved from direct listening to AI-enabled devices and consider how these developments are beginning to reshape everyday clinical practice [1,6-9].

Early Manual Techniques

Listening to the body as a way to understand disease goes back much further than most people realize. In the earliest medical traditions, physicians relied on what we now call immediate auscultation—simple placing the ear against the patient's chest or abdomen to judge what was happening inside. Hippocrates is often mentioned here; he advised his students to listen carefully for unusual chest sounds when examining respiratory problems. Early medical notes—such as the Ebers Papyrus from about 1500 BCE and passages in the Hindu Vedas—show that healers paid attention to sounds during their usual checks [10–13].

Even so, this method came with clear limits. What someone heard depended on their own hearing and how well they could make sense of soft or unclear sounds. It also created modesty issues and left both people exposed to poor hygiene. Because of these issues—minor in some situations and more troubling in others—it became obvious that a safer and more comfortable way to perform auscultation had to emerge [14,15].

The Invention of the Stethoscope

A major shift took place in 1816, when the French physician René-Théophile-Hyacinthe Laennec introduced a new way of listening that, in a way, grew from a simple observation. Reports describe him noticing how easily sound moves through solid objects. To explore the idea, he rolled a sheet of paper into a tube and placed it between his ear and the patient's chest. The heart sounds, as he later noted, seemed much clearer than what direct contact allowed [16–18].

After this first attempt, Laennec went on to make a sturdier wooden version—a monaural stethoscope about 25 cm long. He drew on two Greek terms, stethos (chest) and skopein (to examine), when naming it. In his 1819 work De l'Auscultation Médiate, he explained the device, the listening methods he used, and the ways different sounds might suggest different meanings, which, to be fair, was still new to many clinicians. The stethoscope soon proved useful in identifying conditions such as pneumonia, tuberculosis, and several cardiac murmurs, and physicians tended to use it with growing confidence [19–21].

The instrument kept evolving over the following two centuries. New parts, updated materials, and, eventually, the familiar binaural form made auscultation easier to teach and apply. These steady changes seem to have shaped the stethoscope into the dependable diagnostic tool used in clinics today.

Transition to Digital Stethoscopes

The shift toward digital stethoscopes began in the late twentieth century, almost in the background at first, and then with clearer momentum as electronic parts grew smaller and cheaper. The older acoustic design—built from tubing, metal, and a diaphragm—worked well, yet digital models changed the process by using electronic sensors to capture body sounds and convert them into digital signals. The sound that reaches the listener often seems cleaner and easier to work with, especially in settings where background noise might hide faint heart or lung sounds. These instruments also support clinicians who, with time, may not detect softer frequencies as easily as they once did [4,22,23].

Noise reduction became another early point of interest. Digital stethoscopes rely on filters that cut out much of the surrounding noise, something the older models could not do. What remains is the part of the sound that clinicians actually need, rather than everything happening around it. You end up hearing the part of the signal that matters instead of everything else going on in the room. They also let clinicians save the recordings—something that, on its own, changed practice quite a bit. A saved recording can be revisited later, sent to a colleague, or used during remote consultations. And, of course, recorded sounds are what make AI-assisted interpretation possible in the first place [24–26].

Comparing acoustic and digital devices is not complicated, though the picture is not entirely one-sided. Acoustic models rely fully on the listener's ears and are easily disrupted by noise. Digital devices get around this by amplifying the signal and presenting a clearer version of the sound, which helps when the murmur is faint or the lung sounds are subtle. On the other hand, though, digital stethoscopes need batteries or another power supply, and their higher cost makes them harder to introduce in settings with fewer resources. Table 1 outlines the basic differences between the three types—traditional, digital, and AI-assisted systems—even if the real-world experience is sometimes messier [27,28].

Feature	Traditional Stethoscope	Digital Stethoscope	AI-Assisted Auscultation
Sound Amplification	Passive, depends on clinician's hearing	Electronic amplification	Enhanced through digital processing and filtering
Background Noise Filtering	None	Basic noise reduction (depending on model)	Advanced filtering algorithms using machine learning (24, 26, 35)
Diagnostic Support	Relies solely on clinician's interpretation	Enhanced audio but no automated interpretation	Provides real-time diagnostic suggestions using trained AI models (7, 36, 37)
Data Recording and Sharing	Not Possible	Enables sound recording and cloud storage	Allows remote diagnosis, telemedicine integration (8, 23, 40)
Learning Curve	Low	Moderate	Requires clinician training and digital literacy (56-58)
Accessibility in Remote Settings	Limited	Moderate	High; suitable for community, rural, and low-resource settings (41, 51)
Cost	Low	Moderate to High	Higher initial cost; but scalable with wider adoption

Challenges in Traditional Auscultation

Traditional auscultation is reliable in many circumstances, but anyone who has used it regularly knows its weak spots. The biggest one is subjectivity. What one clinician hears as a soft wheeze, another may interpret as normal airflow. Studies have shown that even experienced listeners disagree more often than we might expect, especially when the sounds are subtle [3,24,29].

Another difficulty is that there is no universal standard for interpreting every sound. This means the clinician's training, experience, and confidence shape the final judgment, which may work well in some settings but not others. These variations explain why developers and clinicians became interested in AI tools: they may offer a steadier reference point that does not shift from one listener to another [4,23,30,31].

There is also the problem of noise—a familiar frustration in emergency rooms, outpatient clinics, and ambulances. Traditional stethoscopes have no way to filter out the sound of conversations, equipment, or movement around the patient. When the environment is loud, softer abnormalities can be easy to miss. These limitations make it clearer why digital and AI-supported approaches are drawing attention. They promise more consistent listening conditions and, to some extent, more confidence in what the clinician thinks they heard [32,33].

The Integration of AI in Auscultation

How AI-Driven Auscultation Works

The use of artificial intelligence in auscultation has grown gradually, almost step by step, as digital tools became more common in clinical work. The process often begins when clinicians capture clean and detailed recordings with an electronic stethoscope. These stethoscopes pick up more nuance in heart and lung sounds than older acoustic models and can reveal small details that might be missed. The recordings then pass through algorithms that clean the signal by lowering outside noise, sharpening the key parts of the sound, and guiding the system toward the features that matter [34,35].

After this step, the work moves to machine-learning models. These models review the cleaned recordings and compare them with many examples from real patients, both healthy individuals and those with specific conditions. With repeated exposure, the models learn to spot patterns linked to disease. One study reported that an AI-assisted tool identified heart valve problems with accuracy close to ninety percent, a rate higher than what clinicians usually achieve with traditional listening. After the analysis, the AI provides an immediate interpretation, giving clinicians something close to a second set of ears during the examination [36,37].

Advantages of AI-Assisted Auscultation

The benefits of using AI in auscultation do not come in a single form; they tend to appear

- **More Accurate Detection of Early Disease:**

Some abnormalities—especially soft murmurs or faint lung sounds—can be easy to overlook. AI systems help notice these small changes and flag them earlier, which can influence the direction of treatment [36].

- **Less Variation Between Clinicians:**

One of the long-standing issues with auscultation is the way two trained listeners may hear the same sound but reach different conclusions. AI reduces this variation by offering a steadier interpretation, no matter who uses the stethoscope [38,39].

- **Stronger Support for Remote or Underserved Areas:**

Many AI-enhanced devices fit easily into telemedicine platforms. A clinician in a small clinic—or even a pharmacy, as has been tried in some settings—can record a sound and send it for interpretation. This widens access in places where specialists are scarce [40,41].

- **Real-Time Monitoring when Timing is Critical:**

AI tools can analyse ongoing sound patterns, giving clinicians near-immediate updates during the same encounter or in remote follow-ups. This is helpful for chronic conditions that change fast or in unpredictable ways [1,40,42].

Clinical Applications of AI-Driven Auscultation

Cardiology

Cardiology is often where people first notice the advantages of AI-supported auscultation. Heart sounds can be subtle, and clinicians may not agree on what they hear, especially when a murmur is faint or the room is noisy. AI tools can highlight patterns that the ear may miss at first. They help separate harmless murmurs from those that point toward valve disease, and this can reduce unnecessary referrals while bringing important cases to attention sooner. Some systems also follow small shifts in the timing or shape of heart sounds. These details may hint at early heart failure when seen over time. The purpose is not to replace clinical judgment. It is to guide the listener toward conditions that need closer review [43–45].

Pulmonology

Lung sounds carry their own challenges, and AI has shown clear progress in this area as well. Conditions such as pneumonia or asthma change breathing in specific but sometimes delicate ways. Traditional auscultation captures much of this, though not always with steady reliability. AI algorithms can analyse sound patterns and, in a way, "remember" thousands of similar recordings. In one study involving children with suspected pulmonary disease, the AI system detected crackles and wheezes with more consistency than general pediatricians. That is not a criticism of the clinicians—paediatric auscultation is notoriously variable. The same technology has even been explored in tuberculosis screening, where it helps identify characteristic acoustic features linked to TB earlier than routine listening might allow [46–48].

Pediatrics

Listening to infants or young children is often harder than expected. They move, breathe irregularly, and produce softer pathological sounds than adults. This sometimes leaves clinicians unsure, especially in noisy rooms. AI-supported devices add stability to this process by analysing recordings even when the situation is less than ideal. Telemedicine clinics have used AI-enabled stethoscopes to assess murmurs remotely, reducing the need to bring a child physically to the hospital. A few neonatal units have also trailed AI-based analysis of heart and lung sounds for remote evaluations, giving clinicians a clearer starting point before making decisions [49,50].

Primary Care and Telemedicine

In primary care, where appointments can be short and the range of problems very broad, AI-driven auscultation works almost like a quiet assistant. It picks up signals that a clinician might otherwise overlook in a fast exam. As telemedicine became more common, these tools found an even more natural place. A patient at home can use an AI-enabled stethoscope, and the system provides immediate interpretation while the clinician reviews the recording. This ongoing monitoring is particularly helpful for chronic respiratory or cardiac conditions, where early detection of worsening symptoms can prevent deterioration. It also opens the door for patients in rural or underserved regions to receive better-quality assessments without long travel or specialist visits [51,52].

Challenges and Limitations

Data Availability and Algorithm Training

Developing reliable AI tools for auscultation depends on having large and varied datasets, but collecting those datasets is rarely straightforward. Different hospitals record sounds in their own ways, and privacy rules often limit what can be shared openly. To many people, these problems seem small at first. Still, they create gaps and uneven patterns in the data the model needs for learning. A study on heart murmur detection found that when the training set is not diverse, the system tends to work better for some groups than for others. This kind of bias can sit in the background for a long time before anyone notices it. For this reason, researchers keep asking for broader and more representative datasets so the models remain helpful for many patient groups. It sounds like a simple fix, maybe, but it matters [53–55].

Clinical Acceptance and Physician Training

Despite the earlier expectation that AI-assisted auscultation would make rapid progress, these tools are not effectively used in our everyday medical practice. Some clinicians do not trust these tools, and some are not familiar with this new technology. There is also a fear among some physicians that promoting AI-assisted auscultation may endanger the actual need for physicians in the future. Doubt about the risk of taking the wrong decision by relying on information obtained by these tools and a lack of trust are among other reasons that make some physicians reluctant to use the new technology.

However, a qualitative study from Sweden mentioned that continuous and persistent training helped many clinicians to trust and effectively use advanced and technology-embedded examination tools. When they observed how the new technology can ease the work and improve the outcome in real cases the willingness to put it in their daily practice was increased significantly. It is obvious that it won't change overnight, yet the shift will take shape sooner or later [56–60].

Ethical and Legal Concerns

Using AI for diagnosis brings ethical and legal issues that are still not fully settled. Since these systems draw on large amounts of patient data, concerns about privacy and security remain central; even strong safeguards cannot remove the risk entirely. Regulation adds another layer of difficulty. The U.S. FDA has pointed out that AI-based diagnostics may need a more tailored structure, one that fits the way these tools learn and change over time. Another challenge is the "black box" problem. When clinicians receive a result without knowing how the model reached it, trust can weaken. This has led to greater interest in explainable AI, where the reasoning behind each result is easier to follow. It helps, even if it does not answer everything [61–64].

Future Perspectives — Layer 4 (Final Version)

Advancements in Wearable and Portable AI Auscultation Devices

Wearable AI devices are changing how everyday monitoring is carried out, in ways that feel more practical than dramatic. Some of the newer AI-enabled stethoscopes, such as the Mintti Smartho-D2, can listen to heart sounds in a person's home and give quick impressions of murmurs or irregular rhythms. For many patients who struggle with frequent clinic visits, this type of home monitoring becomes more than a convenience. It lets them check their heart when they notice something unusual, instead of waiting for an appointment. These devices are also light and simple enough for most people to manage on their own. This makes them useful for home care and for settings where patients are moving around a great deal [38,48].

Development of Fully Automated Diagnostic Systems

AI is also starting to move beyond basic detection. Some research groups now explore whether it can suggest how diseases might develop. One example comes from work at Penn State University, where researchers-built models that sort through large datasets to predict the course of autoimmune conditions. Their findings suggest that AI might one day do something similar with auscultation data, picking up patterns that hint at how a cardiac or respiratory condition could change over time. When these predictive tools link with wearable sensors, they can give quick interpretations, sometimes during the same monitoring session. In that setting, AI moves from acting as a passive listener to something closer to an early warning companion [1,64,65].

Potential for Personalized Medicine

AI-assisted auscultation also connects with the broader idea of personalized medicine. When wearable devices supply continuous sound data, clinicians can track small day-to-day changes in a patient's condition instead of depending only on occasional check-ups. These early signals may appear before clear symptoms, and noticing them sooner allows earlier and more tailored treatment. Some AI-enhanced sensors already show promise in detecting early shifts in chronic illness. This steady flow of personal data fits well with precision medicine, where decisions focus on the individual rather than a typical patient profile [66–68].

Conclusion

Auscultation has changed a great deal since the early nineteenth century, when Laënnec introduced his wooden tube and reshaped how clinicians listened to the body. That first stethoscope marked the start of mediate auscultation and, in many ways, set the stage for the tools that followed. Over time, these instruments shifted from simple acoustics to more refined designs, with improvements that earlier clinicians would have found hard to imagine.

Recently, artificial intelligence has become available and has influenced everyday auscultation where it is used. Digital stethoscopes that benefit from machine-learning technology can help clinicians detect cardiac or respiratory abnormalities that may be missed during a busy clinic day. It offers a quick interpretation and, in some cases, allows earlier intervention and therefore better outcomes. This is particularly important in patients for whom an early diagnosis makes a clear difference in outcome.

Despite these advances, the clinicians still play the primary role. Although AI can provide patterns and prompts, the clinician's interpretation is essential. The final decision and patient's management plan requires an understanding of the patient's full clinical picture. We believe that the combination of advanced auscultation support and professional judgment of physicians provides a much better assessment than either one alone.

Looking ahead, auscultation will likely change into a portable and even a wearable AI-based tool. These future devices could allow direct access to patients' sounds at any time, and therefore, can alert medical centres to any abnormalities at the earliest time. In the era of personalised medicine, such tools may soon become parts of routine practice. Reaching that point will still need more research and training through access to available patients' medical records and data, as part of the machine learning process, so clinicians can use these technologies with confidence. If that kind of support is provided, AI-augmented auscultation can continue to improve while maintaining the clinicians at the centre of care.

Acknowledgements
The authors thank Newcastle University Medicine Malaysia for providing the support and resources that made this study and the preparation of the manuscript possible.

Conflict of Interest
The authors report no conflicts of interest.

Funding
No financial support was received for the study or the preparation of this manuscript.

Author Contributions
Dr. Muhammad Iftikhar Hanif conceptualized the project, supervised the work, and approved the final manuscript.
Dr. Siamak Sarrafan contributed to the literature search, data extraction, and the development of the presentation.
Mariam Mohamed and Sumaya Gamaleldin Elashmawy assisted with reference management, formatting, and the final revisions.
All authors reviewed and approved the completed manuscript and accept responsibility for its content.

References

1. Chowdhury, M. E., Khandakar, A., Alzoubi, K., Mansoor, S., M. Tahir, A., Reaz, M. B. I., & Al-Emadi, N. (2019). Real-time smart-digital stethoscope system for heart diseases monitoring. Sensors, 19(12), 2781.
2. Hanif, M. I., Hegazi, J., Sarrafan, S., Mohamed, M., Elashmawy, S., & Iftikhar, I. (2025). TAPEX (Technology Assisted Physical Examinoscope): Advancing physical examination through technology for objective assessment. Manuscript submitted for publication at Journal of Medical Education and Curricular Development.
3. Arts, L., Lim, E. H. T., van de Ven, P. M., Heunks, L., & Tuinman, P. R. (2020). The diagnostic accuracy of lung auscultation in adult patients with acute pulmonary pathologies: a meta-analysis. Scientific Reports, 10(1), 7347.
4. Davidsen, A. H., Andersen, S., Halvorsen, P. A., Schirmer, H., Reierth, E., & Melbye, H. (2023). Diagnostic accuracy of heart auscultation for detecting valve disease: a systematic review. BMJ open, 13(3), e068121.
5. Kim, Y., Hyon, Y., Lee, S., Woo, S. D., Ha, T., & Chung, C. (2022). The coming era of a new auscultation system for analyzing respiratory sounds. BMC Pulmonary Medicine, 22(1), 119.
6. Hanna, I. R., & Silverman, M. E. (2002). A history of cardiac auscultation and some of its contributors. The American journal of cardiology, 90(3), 259-267.
7. 1816-1882: Early Stethoscope – EMS Museum [Internet]. [cited 2025 Feb 22].
8. Lv, J., Dong, B., Lei, H., Shi, G., Wang, H., Zhu, F., ... & Chen, H. (2021). Artificial intelligence-assisted auscultation in detecting congenital heart disease. European Heart Journal-Digital Health, 2(1), 119-124.
9. Jani, V., Danford, D. A., Thompson, W. R., Schuster, A., Manlhiot, C., & Kutty, S. (2021). The discerning ear: cardiac auscultation in the era of artificial intelligence and telemedicine. European Heart Journal-Digital Health, 2(3), 456-466.
10. Montinari, M. R., & Minelli, S. (2019). The first 200 years of cardiac auscultation and future perspectives. Journal of multidisciplinary healthcare, 183-189.
11. Vincent, R. (2022). I look into the chest: History and evolution of stethoscope. Journal of the Practice of Cardiovascular Sciences, 8(3), 168-173.
12. Auscultatory Interregnum | Circulation [Internet]. [cited 2025 Feb 22].
13. Tully, P. A., Kwa, L., Buckley, A., Hu, R., Chen, S., Long, D., ... & Tan, C. O. (2022). Lung auscultation versus point-of-care ultrasound for assessment of basal lung excursion at maximal inspiration: An exploratory study. Anaesthesia and intensive care, 50(3), 255-257.
14. Choudry M, Stead TS, Mangal RK, Ganti L. The History and Evolution of the Stethoscope. Cureus. 14(8):e28171.
15. Harbison, J. (2017). 'The old guessing tube': 200 years of the stethoscope. QJM: An International Journal of Medicine, 110(1), 9-10.
16. Kligfield, P. (1981). Laennec and the discovery of mediate auscultation. The American journal of medicine, 70(2), 275-278.
17. Tomos, I., Karakatsani, A., Manali, E. D., & Papiris, S. A. (2016). Celebrating two centuries since the invention of the stethoscope. Rene Theophile Hyacinthe Laënnec (1781–1826). Annals of the American Thoracic Society, 13(10), 1667-1670.
18. The Centennial of the Stethoscope | JAMA | JAMA Network [Internet]. [cited 2025 Feb 22].
19. Fayssoil, A. (2009). René Laennec (1781–1826) and the Invention of the Stethoscope. American Journal of

Cardiology, 104(5), 743-744.
20. Roguin, A. (2006). Rene Theophile Hyacinthe Laënnec (1781–1826): the man behind the stethoscope. Clinical medicine & research, 4(3), 230-235.
21. 2020 ACC/AHA Guideline for the Management of Patients with Valvular Heart Disease: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Joint Committee on Clinical Practice Guidelines | Circulation [Internet]. [cited 2025 Feb 22].
22. Lakhe, A., Sodhi, I., Warrier, J., & Sinha, V. (2016). Development of digital stethoscope for telemedicine. Journal of medical engineering & technology, 40(1), 20-24.
23. Pereira D, Castro A, Gomes P, Areias JCNC, Reis ZSN, Coimbra MT, et al. Digital Auscultation: Challenges and Perspectives. In: Encyclopedia of E-Health and Telemedicine [Internet]. IGI Global Scientific Publishing; 2016 [cited 2025 Feb 10]. p. 910–27.
24. Koning, C., & Lock, A. (2021). A systematic review and utilization study of digital stethoscopes for cardiopulmonary assessments. Journal of Medical Research and Innovation, 5(2), 4-14.
25. Bai YW, Lu CL. The embedded digital stethoscope uses the adaptive noise cancellation filter and the type I Chebyshev IIR bandpass filter to reduce the noise of the heart sound. In: Proceedings of 7th International Workshop on Enterprise networking and Computing in Healthcare Industry, 2005 HEALTHCOM 2005 [Internet]. 2005 [cited 2025 Feb 22]. p. 278–81.
26. Lee, K., Ji, Y., Jeon, Y., & Park, Y. C. (2013). Development and implementation of noise-cancelling technology for digital stethoscope. Journal of Biomedical Engineering Research, 34(4), 204-211.
27. Leng, S., Tan, R. S., Chai, K. T. C., Wang, C., Ghista, D., & Zhong, L. (2015). The electronic stethoscope. Biomedical engineering online, 14(1), 66.
28. Nuttall C, Teng CC. Design and Implementation of a Low Cost, Open Access Digital Stethoscope for Social Distanced Medical Care and Tele Auscultation: Stethogram. In: Proceedings of the 5th International Conference on Medical and Health Informatics [Internet]. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery; 2021 [cited 2025 Feb 21]. p. 129–33. (ICMHI '21).
29. Wang, Z., & Sun, Z. (2024). Performance evaluation of lung sounds classification using deep learning under variable parameters. EURASIP Journal on Advances in Signal Processing, 2024(1), 51.
30. Gurung, A., Scrafford, C. G., Tielsch, J. M., Levine, O. S., & Checkley, W. (2011). Computerized lung sound analysis as diagnostic aid for the detection of abnormal lung sounds: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Respiratory medicine, 105(9), 1396-1403.
31. Kala, A., McCollum, E. D., & Elhilali, M. (2023). Reference free auscultation quality metric and its trends. Biomedical signal processing and control, 85, 104852.
32. Iskandar AS, Prihatmanto AS, Rangkuti S. Design of electronic stethoscope to prevent error analysis of heart patients circumstances. In: 2014 IEEE 4th International Conference on System Engineering and Technology (ICSET) [Internet]. 2014 [cited 2025 Feb 22]. p. 1–4.
33. Rennoll V, McLane I, Emmanouilidou D, West J, Elhilali M. Electronic Stethoscope Filtering Mimics the Perceived Sound Characteristics of Acoustic Stethoscope. IEEE J Biomed Health Inform. 2021 May;25(5):1542–9.
34. CoLab [Internet]. [cited 2025 Feb 22]. An electronic stethoscope for heart diseases based on micro-electro-mechanical-system microphone.
35. Rusydi, M. I., Ningsih, E. M., Pawawoi, A., Nofendra, R., & Windasari, N. (2021). Design a stethoscope digital to keep the medical practitioner at an adequate distance while examining the patient. In IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering (Vol. 1041, No. 1, p. 012015). IOP Publishing.
36. Graham C. Computer-assisted auscultation proves effective at detecting early-stage heart disease [Internet]. Johns Hopkins Malone Center for Engineering in Healthcare. 2021.
37. Jani, V., Danford, D. A., Thompson, W. R., Schuster, A., Manlhiot, C., & Kutty, S. (2021). The discerning ear: cardiac auscultation in the era of artificial intelligence and telemedicine. European Heart Journal-Digital Health, 2(3), 456-466.
38. Kim, Y., Hyon, Y., Woo, S. D., Lee, S., Lee, S. I., Ha, T., & Chung, C. (2023). Evolution of the stethoscope: advances with the adoption of machine learning and development of Wearable devices. Tuberculosis and respiratory diseases, 86(4), 251.
39. Van Drie, M., & Harris, A. (2020). The stethoscope goes digital: Learning through attention, distraction and distortion. Gesnerus, 77(1), 123-148.
40. Baraeinejad B, Shams M, Hamedani MS, Nasiri-Valikboni A, Forouzesh M, Fakhraeelotfabadi S, et al. Clinical IoT in Practice: A Novel Design and Implementation of a Multi-functional Digital Stethoscope for Remote Health Monitoring.
41. Park, D. E., Watson, N. L., Focht, C., Feikin, D., Hammitt, L. L., Brooks, W. A., ... & McCollum, E. D. (2022). Digitally recorded and remotely classified lung auscultation compared with conventional stethoscope classifications among children aged 1–59 months enrolled in the Pneumonia Etiology Research for Child Health (PERCH) case–control study. BMJ open respiratory research, 9(1).
42. Foche-Perez, I., Ramirez-Payba, R., Hirigoyen-Emparanza, G., Balducci-Gonzalez, F., Simo-Reigadas, F. J., Seoane-Pascual, J., ... & Martinez-Fernandez, A. (2012). An open real-time tele-stethoscopy system. Biomedical engineering online, 11(1), 57.
43. Ghanayim, T., Lupu, L., Naveh, S., Bachner-Hinenzon, N., Adler, D., Adawi, S., ... & Shiran, A. (2022). Artificial intelligence-based stethoscope for the diagnosis of aortic stenosis. The American Journal of Medicine, 135(9), 1124-1133.

44. Omarov B, Saparkhojayev N, Shekerbekova S, Akhmetova O, Sakypbekova M, Kamalova G, et al. Artificial Intelligence in Medicine: Real Time Electronic Stethoscope for Heart Diseases Detection. CMC. 2021;70(2):2815–33.
45. Ogawa, S., Namino, F., Mori, T., Sato, G., Yamakawa, T., & Saito, S. (2024). AI diagnosis of heart sounds differentiated with super Stethoscope. Journal of Cardiology, 83(4), 265-271.
46. Sethi, A. K., Muddaloor, P., Anvekar, P., Agarwal, J., Mohan, A., Singh, M., ... & Arunachalam, S. P. (2023). Digital pulmonology practice with phonopulmography leveraging artificial intelligence: future perspectives using dual microwave acoustic sensing and imaging. Sensors, 23(12), 5514.
47. Zhu, H., Lai, J., Liu, B., Wen, Z., Xiong, Y., Li, H., ... & Zeng, H. (2022). Automatic pulmonary auscultation grading diagnosis of Coronavirus Disease 2019 in China with artificial intelligence algorithms: A cohort study. Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine, 213, 106500.
48. Lee KR, Kim T, Im S, Lee YJ, Jeong S, Shin H, et al. A Wearable Stethoscope for Accurate Real-Time Lung Sound Monitoring and Automatic Wheezing Detection Based on an AI Algorithm. Engineering [Internet]. 2025 Jan 17 [cited 2025 Feb 22].
49. Ijaz, M.T., & Ijaz, N. (2024). Beyond stethoscopes: Advancing Diagnostic Precision in Pediatric Cardiology. Ecuadorian Journal of Pediatrics , 25 (2), 46-53.
50. Emeryk, A., Derom, E., Janeczek, K., Kuźnar-Kamińska, B., Zelent, A., Łukaszyk, M., ... & Hafke-Dys, H. (2023). Home monitoring of asthma exacerbations in children and adults with use of an AI-aided stethoscope. The Annals of Family Medicine, 21(6), 517-525.
51. Dhillon, H. S., Doermann, A. C., & Walcoff, P. (1978). Telemedicine and rural primary health care: An analysis of the impact of telecommunications technology. Socio-Economic Planning Sciences, 12(1), 37-48.
52. Bratton, R. L., & Cody, C. (2000, April). Telemedicine applications in primary care: a geriatric patient pilot project. In Mayo Clinic Proceedings (Vol. 75, No. 4, pp. 365-368). Elsevier.
53. Zhang, L., Cheng, Z., Xu, D., Wang, Z., Cai, S., Hu, N., ... & Mei, X. (2024). Developing an AI-assisted digital auscultation tool for automatic assessment of the severity of mitral regurgitation: protocol for a cross-sectional, non-interventional study. BMJ open, 14(3), e074288.
54. Krones, F., & Walker, B. (2024). From theoretical models to practical deployment: A perspective and case study of opportunities and challenges in AI-driven cardiac auscultation research for low-income settings. PLOS Digital Health, 3(12), e0000437.
55. ZCHSound: Open-Source ZJU Paediatric Heart Sound Database with Congenital Heart Disease | IEEE Journals & Magazine | IEEE Xplore [Internet]. [cited 2025 Feb 22].
56. Hou K, Xia S, Bejerano E, Wu J, Jiang X. ARSteth: Enabling Home Self-Screening with AR-Assisted Intelligent Stethoscopes. In: Proceedings of the 22nd International Conference on Information Processing in Sensor Networks [Internet]. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery; 2023 [cited 2025 Feb 21]. p. 205–18. (IPSN '23).
57. Pyles, L., Hemmati, P., Pan, J., Yu, X., Liu, K., Wang, J., ... & Ni, Q. (2017). Initial field test of a cloud-based cardiac auscultation system to determine murmur etiology in rural China. Pediatric cardiology, 38(4), 656-662.
58. Toward a Smart Stethoscope: Correlation Between Trachea Internal Air Pathway Geometry and the Auscultation Signal Response - ProQuest [Internet]. [cited 2025 Feb 22].
59. Soroush L, Hafeez-Baig A, Gururajan R. Clinicians' Perception of Using Digital Stethoscopes in Telehealth Platform: Queensland Telehealth Preliminary Study. ACIS 2010 Proceedings [Internet]. 2010 Jan 1.
60. Gururajan, R., Tsai, H. S., & Chen, H. (2011). Using digital stethoscopes in remote patient assessment via wireless networks: the user's perspective. International Journal of Advanced Networking and Applications, 3(3), 1140-1146.
61. Cohen, I. G. (2024). Digital health care outside of traditional clinical settings: ethical, legal, and regulatory challenges and opportunities.
62. Lupton, M. (2018). Some ethical and legal consequences of the application of artificial intelligence in the field of medicine. Trends Med, 18(4), 100147.
63. Automated differential diagnostics of respiratory diseases using an electronic stethoscope - ProQuest [Internet]. [cited 2025 Feb 22].
64. Kostick-Quenet, K., Estep, J., & Blumenthal-Barby, J. S. (2024). Ethical Concerns for Remote Computer Perception in Cardiology: New Stages for Digital Health Technologies, Artificial Intelligence, and Machine Learning. Circulation: Cardiovascular Quality and Outcomes, 17(5), e010717.
65. Joarder, T., Tune, S. N. B. K., Islam, A. A., Islam, A., Roy, A. D., McCollum, E. D., ... & Ahmed, S. (2023). End-user acceptability of a prototype digital stethoscope to diagnose childhood pneumonia-a qualitative exploration from Sylhet, Bangladesh. BMC Digital Health, 1(1), 26.
66. AIDiagnosticDigital Cardiac Auscultation Patient-Centered Care [Internet]. Minttihealth. 2024 [cited 2025 Feb 22].
67. Tomasevic S, Blagojevic A, Geroski T, Jovicic G, Milicevic B, Prodanovic M, et al. AI-Driven Decision Support System for Heart Failure Diagnosis: IN[TEL]HEART Approach Towards Personalized Treatment Strategies. In: 2024 IEEE 24th International Conference on Bioinformatics and Bioengineering (BIBE) [Internet]. 2024 [cited 2025 Feb 22]. p. 1–5.
68. Cardiovascular AI Smart Stethoscope Remote Cardiac Care Pediatrics [Internet]. Minttihealth. 2024 [cited 2025 Feb 22].